

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/520,227	01/04/2005	Andreas Hillenmeier	1716240	2581	
Robert J Schne	7590 03/19/200 eider	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Chapman and	Cutler	LANG, AMY T			
111 West Mor Chicago, IL 60		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3731		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/520,227	HILLENMEIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AMY T. LANG	3731	

-						
	AMY T. LANG	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued 73 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee to action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	·					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈	corresponding number of finally reis	octed claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number or finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		.,,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling to non-allowable claim(s).						
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected. 1-20.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	·					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Todd E Manahan/	(A					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	/Amy T Lang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is the examiner's position that members 10 and 1 of Ramspeck overlap the instantly claimed actuating means since they allow the clamping means to move. A shown in Figure 2 of Ramspeck the clamping members (6) are offset in the circumferential direction since they are located along the same planes along the outer circumference. Furthermore, it is the examiner's position that the epilator of Ramspeck is designed and arranged such that the clamping members can be actuated at the same time since this limitation is only functionally claimed. Although Applicant argues that the actuating means of Ramspeck are members 22, it is the examiner's position that the clamping means are still able to be actuated at the same time.